

# Verification and certification report form for Gold Standard project activities

(Version 04.0)

BASIC INFORMATION				
Title and Gold Standard reference number of	BMT Solar Farm			
the project activity	GS7526			
Scale of the project activity				
	Small-scale			
Version number of the verification and certification report	04			
Completion date of the verification and certification report	19/07/2023			
Monitoring period number and duration of this monitoring period	02, 01/05/2021 – 28/02/2023			
Version number of the monitoring report to which this report applies	03			
Crediting period of the project activity corresponding to this monitoring period	1st Crediting Period (02/05/2019 – 01/05/2024)			
Project participants	BMT Renewable Energy Stock Company			
Host Party	Viet Nam			
Applied methodologies and standardized baselines	ACM0002: Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources (Version 19.0)			
Mandatory sectoral scopes	01, TA (1.2)			
Estimated amount of GHG emission	SDG 7 – 79,244 MWh			
reductions or GHG removals for this monitoring duration in the registered PDD	SDG 8 – 18 Jobs			
	1 Training per annum			
	SDG 13 -67,294 tCO <sub>2</sub>			
Certified GHG emission reductions or net	SDG 7 – 69,490 MWh			
anthropogenic GHG removals for this monitoring period	SDG 8 – 32 Jobs			
31	35 Trainings			
	SDG 13 - 59,011 tCO <sub>2</sub>			
Name and UNFCCC reference number of the VVB	Carbon Check (India) Private Limited, E-0052.			
Name, position and signature of the approver of the verification and certification report	Vixash L. Sis			
	Vikash Kumar Singh, Compliance Officer			

Version 04.0 Page 1 of 23

#### **SECTION A.** Executive summary

The purpose of the project activity is to generate electricity and supply to the national grid of Vietnam (EVN). The project activity is located at EA Phe Commune and Krong Puk Commune, Krong Pak District, Dak Lak Province, Vietnam and is of 30 MW capacity. This solar power plant produces can 43,224 MWh per annum as per the registered PDD /02/. The aim of the project activity is to replace anthropogenic emissions of Greenhouse Gases (GHGs) by displacing an equivalent amount of electricity from fossil fuel-based power plants connected to the Vietnam's national grid (EVN).

#### SECTION B. Verification team, technical reviewer and approver

#### B.1. Verification team member

No.	Role		Last name	First name	Affiliation	Involvement in			in
		Type of resource			(e.g. name of central or other office of VVB or outsourced entity)	Desk/document review	On-site inspection	Interviews	Verification findings
1.	Team Leader	İR	Choudhary	Aparna	CCIPL	X	X	X	X
2.	Technical Expert	IR	Rajput	Jaya	CCIPL	Х	Х	Х	Х
3.	Local Expert	IR	Nguyen Hong Ngoc	Trang	CCIPL	Х	Х	Х	Х

#### B.2. Technical reviewer and approver of the verification and certification report

No.	Role	Type of	Last name	First name	Affiliation
		resource			(e.g. name of
					central or other
					office of VVB or
					outsourced entity)
1.	Technical reviewer	IR	Chakraborty	Shivaji	CCIPL
2.	Approver	IR	Singh	Vikash Kumar	CCIPL

#### **SECTION C.** Application of materiality

#### C.1. Consideration of materiality in planning the verification

No.	Risk that could lead to	-	Assessment of the risk	Response to the risk in the	
	material errors, omissions or misstatements	Risk level	compling plan		
1.	Human Error: Recording and reporting of the information in the ER spreadsheet.	Medi um	All the input data in the ER spreadsheet including the sales database, determination of parameters for efficiency testing including data calculation. This includes all the parameters to be monitored ex-post as per the.	The risk was mitigated by training the personnel involved in the data capture, and calculation and by following the monitoring responsibilities. The training records were reviewed. The verification team, based on the above, confirms that the risk is appropriately mitigated.	
2.	Information System: Use of spreadsheets without adequate controls related to data changes/updates, version tracking, traceability,	Medi um	The data is recorded in spreadsheets based on the raw data collected during the field visits. The access to the spreadsheets for	The identified risk was mitigated by managing access to the records. It was confirmed by the PP that the raw data is collected by the field personnel	

Version 04.0 Page 2 of 23

	security		calculation of ERs, monitoring and sales database, and Stove efficiency testing records is controlled.	and then transmitted and stored electronically to the PP's office. The organogram of the organization for the data collection and record-keeping was reviewed and found satisfactory. The data quality control is maintained by the PP.
3.	Accuracy of the measuring equipment	Low	Check the calibration records for the measurement equipment used for the efficiency test.	The risk due to the accuracy of the measuring equipment was efnsured by planning to check the calibration certificates of the measuring equipment used for stove efficiency.
4.	Competence of personnel involved in conducting standardized tests.	Low	Interview of the personnel involved and check the training records/accreditation certificates (applicable in case of institutions) involved in conducting such tests.	The risk was mitigated by reviewing the training records of the personnel involved in conducting such tests and by following the monitoring responsibilities. For institutions involved in conducting such tests, their accreditation certificates were checked to establish their competence for conducting such tests. The training records and certificates were reviewed which were also confirmed during the verification.

Based on the above information, a risk analysis is carried out in the following activities:

- 1. Monitoring system including the data input procedure (including relevant personnel and applicable template forms used).
- 2. ER sheet (application of data)
- 3. Data flow
- 4. Data control procedures

The risks identified can be mitigated through cross check with all sets of documents. The verification team performed the following checks to mitigate the effects of the above-identified sources of error:

<u>Accuracy of the measuring equipment:</u> The risk due to inaccuracy in measurements was mitigated by reviewing the calibration certificates of all the project equipment.

Based on the assessment carried out, CCIPL confirms with a reasonable level of assurance that the claimed emission reductions are free from material errors, omissions, or misstatements.

#### C.2. Consideration of materiality in conducting the verification

The Project is a large-scale GS4GG project activity achieving total emission reductions of 36,706 tCO<sub>2</sub>e/year. As per CDM validation and verification standard v03.0 **/08/**, large-scale projects achieve total emission reductions of 300,000 tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent per year or less; as such, a 2 per cent materiality threshold is applied /08/. Accordingly, the materiality threshold is 734.12 tons of CO<sub>2</sub>e. The materiality thresholds have been calculated in accordance with § 326 (c) of CDM VVS for project activities, version 03.0 **/08/**.

In line with Guidelines for Application of materiality in verifications v02.0 /15/, a reasonable level of assurance is defined for the verification of the project by complete verification of all the monitoring records (measurement records, invoices and calibration certificates) was done by the verification team and compared with the values indicated in the emission reduction spreadsheet.

Some mistakes were identified and subsequently, findings were raised. These findings are detailed in this report, and they were successfully closed. Therefore, related identified mistakes as listed in the findings in

Version 04.0 Page 3 of 23

this report have been determined to be immaterial. Thus, it is confirmed that there are no material errors, omissions or misstatements and a reasonable level of assurance is established.

#### **SECTION D.** Means of verification

#### D.1. Desk/document review

The verification was performed primarily based on the review of the Monitoring report /01/ and the supporting documentation. This process included a review of data and information presented to verify their completeness and a review of the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology. Documents reviewed or referenced during the verification are listed in Appendix 3 below.

#### D.2. On-site inspection

The onsite visit was performed by the verification team of CCIPL on 16/05/2023 and the following activities were performed.

- An assessment of the implementation and operation of the project activity as per the registered GS PDD /02/.
- 2. A review of information flows for generating, aggregating and reporting the monitoring parameters.
- 3. Interviews with relevant personnel to determine whether the operational and data collection procedures are implemented in accordance with the monitoring plan in the GS PDD /02/.
- 4. A cross check between information provided in the monitoring report and data from other sources such as plant logbooks, inventories, purchase records or similar data sources.
- 5. A check of the monitoring equipment including calibration performance and observations of monitoring practices against the requirements of the GS PDD /02/ and the selected methodology /04/ and corresponding tool(s), where applicable.
- 6. A review of calculations and assumptions made in determining the GHG data and emission reductions.
- 7. An identification of quality control and quality assurance procedures in place to prevent or identify and correct any errors or omissions in the reported monitoring parameters.
- 8. Verification of the monitoring of sustainable development indicators.

Version 04.0 Page 4 of 23

#### D.3. Interviews

No.	Interviewee		Date	Subject	Team member	
110.	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Date	Cabjeot	ream member
1.	Hua Minh	Duc	BMT	16/05/2023	Discussion on	Aparna Choudhary,
2	Nguyen Tu	Duc	BMT	16/05/2023	project design	Jaya Rajput & Nguyen
3	Tran Minh	Sang	BMT	16/05/2023	(implementation	Hong Ngoc
٦	Trair Willin	Sang	DIVIT	10/03/2023	and operation)	Tiong 14goo
					and monitoring	
					data. Discussion	
					on the contents	
					of the Monitoring	
					Report	
					Discussion on	
					SD monitoring	
					and Grievance	
					Mechanism –	
					Handling of	
					Grievances and	
					training.	
4	Nguyen	Huy	ERS O&M	16/05/2023	Operation &	
	Cong		Company		Maintenance of	
5	Giang Thai	Hoa	ERS O&M	16/05/2023	plant	
	\	.,	Company	40/07/0000		
6	Vo Thanh	Vu	ERS O&M	16/05/2023		
7	Dhara	Llian	Company	40/05/0000		
<b>'</b>	Pham	Hiep	ERS O&M	16/05/2023		
8	Hoang Thirumalav	Panchakshar	Company	16/05/2023	MD 0 ED	
8	an	am	C&W services	16/05/2023	MR & ER	
	an	alli	Services		documentati	
					on • Verification	
					<ul> <li>Verification of monitoring</li> </ul>	
					parameters	
					Verification	
					of SDG	
					parameters	
					Review of	
					data flow	
9	Luu Trung	Thanh	Security	16/05/2023	Employment	
		_	company		under SDG 08	
10	Tran Van	Ayun	LSC	16/05/2023	Grievance	
11	Hlat	Ayun	LSC	16/05/2023	Mechanism	
12	Y Tanh	Ayan	LSC	16/05/2023		

Through the above-mentioned activities the verification team confirmed the following Gold Standard project aspects in relation to the project activity:

- The implementation and operation of the project activity is as described in the monitoring plan in the GS registered PDD /02/
- The operational and data collection procedures are implemented as per the monitoring plan in the GS registered PDD /02/
- The information flow for generating, grouping and reporting of the monitored parameters.
- Procedures to avoid double counting are in place.

#### D.4. Sampling approach

This is a greenfield project activity. Thus, sampling is not required.

Version 04.0 Page 5 of 23

# D.5. Clarification requests (CLs), corrective action requests (CARs) and forward action requests (FARs) raised

Areas of verification findings	No. of CL	No. of CAR	No. of FAR
Compliance of the monitoring report with the monitoring		CAR03	
report form			
Compliance of the project implementation and operation	CL01		
with the registered PDD			
Post-registration changes			
Compliance of the registered monitoring plan with the		CAR01,	
methodologies including applicable tools and		CAR02	
standardized baselines			
Compliance of monitoring activities with the registered			
monitoring plan			
Compliance with the calibration frequency requirements			
for measuring instruments			
Assessment of data and calculation of emission			
reductions or net removals			
Assessment of reported sustainable development co-			
benefits			
Global stakeholder consultation			
Others (please specify)			
Total	1	3	-

#### **SECTION E.** Verification findings

#### E.1. Compliance of the monitoring report with the monitoring report form

Means of verification	Document Review, Interview
Findings	-
Conclusion	PP has used the GS4GG template Monitoring Report, version 1.1 /05-2/. The
	verification team confirms that the latest available version of the monitoring report
	template has been used by the PP and the MR is in compliance with the monitoring
	report form and related template guide Monitoring Report, version 1.1./05-2/

# **E.2.** Remaining forward action requests from validation and/or previous verifications Not applicable

# E.3. Compliance of the project implementation and operation with the registered project design document

Means of verification	Desk Review and on site assessment
Findings	
Conclusion	CCIPL by means of on-site interviews and document provided by the PP confirms that all physical features (technology, project equipment, and monitoring equipment) of the respective project activity are in place and that the coordinating/managing entity has operated the project activity as per the GS registered PDD /02/.  The verification team confirms the actual operation of the Project Activity implementation and operation in compliance with the GS registered PDD /02/ in order to confirm the compliance of GS4GG requirements. /05-1/

#### E.4. Post-registration changes

E.4.1. Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents<sup>1</sup>

No deviation has been implemented. Thus, this section is not applicable.

Version 04.0 Page 6 of 23

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Other standards, methodologies, methodological tools and guidelines (to be) applied in accordance with the applied(selected) methodologies are collectively referred to as the other (applied) methodological regulatory documents).

#### E.4.2. Corrections

Not Applicable

#### E.4.3. Changes to the start date of the crediting period

Not Applicable

#### E.4.4. Inclusion of a monitoring plan

Not Applicable

# E.4.5. Permanent changes from registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents

Not Applicable

#### E.4.6. Changes to the project design

Not Applicable

#### E.4.7. Changes specific to afforestation and reforestation project activities

Not Applicable

## E.5. Compliance of the registered monitoring plan with applied methodologies, applied standardized baselines, and other applied methodological regulatory documents

Means of verification	During this monitoring period, the validated and registered monitoring plan was
	found to be in accordance with the applied methodology/04/.
Findings	N/A
Conclusion	All monitoring parameters, monitoring procedures follow the methodology requirements and registered monitoring plan.

#### E.6. Compliance of monitoring activities with the registered monitoring plan

#### E.6.1. Data and parameters fixed ex ante or at renewal of crediting period

Means of verification		The following ex-ante parameters are considered in the calculation of the emission reductions:					
		Data/Parameter	Unit	Value Applied	Assessment		
	1.	EF <sub>grid</sub> ,OM,y	tCO <sub>2</sub> / MWh	0.8336	Operating margin is calculated as per the tool to calculate the emission factor version 07 and data for the same is taken conservatively. The value is fixed for the entire crediting period.		
	2.	EF <sub>grid,BM,y</sub>	tCO <sub>2</sub> / MWh	0.8961	Build margin is calculated as per tool to calculate the emission factor version 07 and data for the same is taken conservatively. The value is fixed for the entire crediting period.		
	3.	EF <sub>grid</sub> ,CM,y	tCO <sub>2</sub> / MWh	0.8492	Combined margin is calculated as per tool to calculate the emission factor version 07 and data for the		

Version 04.0 Page 7 of 23

	same is taken conservatively. The value is fixed for the entire crediting period.
Findings	-
Conclusion	CCIPL is able to confirm that the Data and parameters fixed ex ante have been implemented in full compliance with the registered monitoring plan in the GS registered PDD /02/.

#### E.6.2. Data and parameters monitored

Means of verification	Desk review, onsite inspection			
Findings	CAR 01 was raised and has been closed successfully			
Conclusion	Data/Parameter	Unit	Value Applied	Assessment
	EF <sub>PJ</sub> ,grid,y	MWh/year	69,885	The audit team has checked the monthly generation reports /10/ from the respective solar power plant of concerned the monitoring period (01/05/2021 to 28/02/2023). These monthly generation records have been cross checked with the invoices raised by PP to the grid authority /11/. Moreover, PP maintains reports of power generation from the SCADA system and the data is continuously monitored in the plant. Thus, after reviewing the submitted supporting documents /10/, /11/ and on-site visit assessment, the VVB confirms that the quantity of electricity generated and supplied by the project power plant to the grid in the year y has no discrepancies.
	EF <sub>PJ,j,y</sub>	MWh/year	395	The audit team has checked the monthly consumption reports /10/ from the respective solar power plant concerned during the monitoring period (01/05/2021 – 28/02/2023). These monthly consumption records have been cross-checked with the invoices raised by PP to the grid authority /11/. Moreover, PP maintains reports of power consumption from the SCADA system and the data is continuously monitored in the plant. Thus, after reviewing the submitted

Version 04.0 Page 8 of 23

			CDIVI-VCR-FORIVI
			documents /10/,/11/ and on-site visit assessment, the VVB confirms that the quantity of electricity consumed by the PP in year y has no discrepancies.
SDG 8.5.1 Employees Monthly Wage	Vietnam Dong per employee	-	The VVB team has assessed pay slips /16/ and through on site inspection for the respective monitoring period confirms that the PP is not discriminating in wages with respect to gender and disabilities.
SDG 8.8.1 Number of Fatal & Non-fatal injuries	Number	0	No fatal injuries were reported during the current MP as per the on-site inspection by the verification team.
SDG 13.3.2 Number of awareness programs	Number	Year         No. of trainings           2021         14           2022         19           2023         2	The VVB team has assessed the training certificates and list of attendees /12/ for the respective monitoring period. PP conducted a total of 35 training during 2 <sup>nd</sup> monitoring period, which is greater than the ex-ante value mentioned in the registered PDD.

### E.6.3. Implementation of sampling plan

Means of verification	Not applicable, as the concerned project activity is a greenfield project activity.
Findings	
Conclusion	

### E.7. Compliance with the calibration frequency requirements for measuring instruments

Means of verification	Desk Review, On site assessment						
Findings	CAR 01 was raised and has been closed successfully						
Conclusion		Plant name	Meter Serial No./ Make	Accuracy	Calibra	tion Date	
		BMT Solar Farm	132 (Main) Elster 132 (Backup) Elster	0.2s 0.5s	Year 2021 2022	Calibration date 04/08/2021 28/06/2022	
	able to con inspection	firm that t and by de ed in full c	the meters wesk review of compliance w	vere duly calibr f calibration ce	ated by the rtificates	nency is 3 years, the EVN during to 107/ and have be coring plan in the	he on site een

Version 04.0 Page 9 of 23

#### E.8. Assessment of data and calculation of emission reductions or net removals

#### E.8.1. Calculation of baseline GHG emissions or baseline net GHG removals by sinks

Means of verification		sions are estimate	d as per equation	n 11 of ACM000	2 version 19
	/04/ as follows	1			
	$BE_y = EG_{PJ,y} \times E$	$BE_y = EG_{PJ,y} \times EF_{grid,CM,y}$			
			(100 (1)		
		e emissions in yea			
		tity of net electricity			
		t of the implement	ation of the CDN	n project activity	in year y
	(MWh/yr)	mbined mergin CC	)- omission facts	r for arid connec	atod power
		mbined margin CC year y calculated ι			
		emission factor for			
		7111001011 100101 101	an olderlong by	2.0 (1.002/11/17/1	'/
	Therefore, the	baseline emission	ns for the monito	ring period are a	s follows:
	Parameter			Period	
			May –	January -	January -
			December	December	February
			2021	2022	2023
	$EG_{PJ,y}$	MWh	24,461	39,829	5,200
	$EF_{grid,CM,y}$	tCO <sub>2</sub> /MWh		0.8492	
	$BE_y$	tCO <sub>2</sub>	20,772	33,823	4,416
	Total	<b>Total</b> 59,011 tCO <sub>2</sub>			
	N. A.				
Findings	NA COURT (See that the second				
Conclusion	CCIPL confirms that baseline emissions have been appropriately calculated and				
	are consistent w	are consistent with on-site assessment, the applied methodology and registered			

## E.8.2. Calculation of project GHG emissions or actual net anthropogenic GHG removals by sinks

Means of verification	The calculation algorithm in the methodology directly calculates emission reductions hence this is not applicable
Findings	CAR02 was raised and has been closed successfully
Conclusion	CCIPL confirms that project emissions have been appropriately calculated and are consistent with on-site assessment, the applied methodology <b>/04/</b> and registered PDD <b>/03/</b> .

#### E.8.3. Calculation of leakage GHG emissions

Means of verification	As per paragraph 56 of the applied methodology/04/, no leakage emissions are	
	considered.	
Findings	NA	
Conclusion	CCIPL confirms that no leakage emissions are accounted in the estimation of	
	emission reduction as per the applied methodology.	

# E.8.4. Summary calculation of GHG emission reductions or net anthropogenic GHG removals by sinks

Means of verification	Emission Reductions:		
	The emission reductions in this monitoring period are: $ER_y = BE_y - PE_y$ Where,		
	ER <sub>y</sub> is the total emission reductions of the project activity during the year y in tCO <sub>2</sub> e.		
	BE <sub>y</sub> is the baseline emissions for the project activity during the year y in tCO <sub>2</sub> e; PEy is the emissions for the project activity during the year y in tCO <sub>2</sub> e;		
	As explained in section E.8.1 above, the resulting Baseline emissions (BE <sub>y</sub> ) for the monitoring period is 67,294 tCO <sub>2</sub> . Similarly, as explained in section E.8.2 and		

Version 04.0 Page 10 of 23

	section E.8.3 project emission is already accounted while calculating baseline emissions and leakage emisisons are accounted as 0 with baseline emissions and net ER to be 59,011 tCO <sub>2</sub> e
Findings	CAR 01 was raised and has been closed successfully
Conclusion	The data presented in the monitoring report /01/ and emission reduction worksheet /03/ were assessed by reviewing in detail project documentation, collection of monitored data, observation of established monitoring and reporting practices and assessment of the reliability of monitoring equipment. Sufficient evidence were presented and verified by CCIPL for the reported emission reductions as listed above.

## E.8.5. Comparison of actual GHG emission reductions or net anthropogenic GHG removals by sinks with estimates in registered PDD

Means of verification	The emission reductions from the project for the monitoring period as reported in the monitoring report revision 03 of 12/07/2023 /01/ is equivalent to 59,011 tCO <sub>2</sub> e as against estimated 67,294 tCO <sub>2</sub> e.
Findings	N/A
Conclusion	The emission reduction calculations provided in the spreadsheet /03/ have been verified to be correct and in line with the registered PDD /02

#### E.8.6. Remarks on difference from estimated value in registered PDD

Means of verification	The actual emission reductions are less than estimated emission reductions during
	the monitoring period.
Findings	N/A
Conclusion	N/A

## E.8.7. Actual GHG emission reductions or net anthropogenic GHG removals by sinks during the first commitment period and the period from 1 January 2013 onwards

	·			
Means of verification				
	GHG emission reductions or net	GHG emission reductions or net		
	GHG removals by sinks reported up	GHG removals by sinks reported		
	to 31 December 2012	from 1 January 2013 onwards		
	NA	59,011 tCO <sub>2</sub> e		
	Year-wise break-up of emission reduction			
	Year Emission Reductions (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)			
	01/05/2021 – 31/12/2021	20,772		
	01/01/2022 – 31/12/2022	33,823		
	01/01/2023 - 28/02/2023	4,416		
Findings				
Conclusion	The emission reduction calculations provided in the spreadsheet /03/ have been			
	verified to be correct and in line with the registered PDD /02/, also the values are			
	consistently reported in the MR for this monitoring period. /01/			

#### E.9. Assessment of reported sustainable development co-benefits

Means of verification	Data Variable	Source of Data	Reported value for the project period
	Employees' monthly wages	Job Contracts	
		sed Job Contracts /14/ and ing period confirms that the oder and disabilities.	
	Data Variable	Source of Data	Reported value for the project period

Version 04.0 Page 11 of 23

	No of Fatal & Non-fatal injuries	Health & Safety issues records	During the site visit interviews it was confirmed that no accidents happened in the concerned MP		
	Assessment				
		n interview the PP and employees during the site visit. It was noted that all or non-fatal injuries happened during the concerned MP.			
Findings	-				
Conclusion	VVB has verified SDG08 th values have been mentione		and on-site interviews, that		

#### E.10. Global stakeholder consultation

Means of verification	Not Applicable
Findings	Not Applicable
Conclusion	Not Applicable

#### SECTION F. Internal quality control

The final verification report passed a technical review before being submitted to the client for submission to SustainCert. A technical reviewer qualified in accordance with CCIPL's qualification scheme for CDM validation and verification performed the technical review.

#### SECTION G. Verification opinion

Carbon Check (India) Private Ltd. (CCIPL) has performed the 2<sup>nd</sup> periodic verification of the GS Project Activity "BMT Solar Farm" in India having GS reference number GS 7526. The verification team assigned by the VVB concludes that the project activity as described in the registered PDD (version 06; dated 11/02/2020) /02/ and the monitoring report (version 03 dated 12/07/2023) /01/, meets all relevant GS4GG requirements for project activity and UNFCCC requirements /05/. The verification has been conducted in-line with the GS4GG requirements and requirements of VVS for CDM project activities (version 03.0) /08/.

#### Verification methodology and process:

The verification team confirms the contractual relationship signed on 16/05/2023 between the VVB, Carbon Check (India) Private Ltd. and Project Participants – BMT Renewable Energy JSC./13/ The team assigned to the verification meets the CCIPL's internal procedures including the UNFCCC requirements for the team composition and competence. The verification team has conducted thorough review as per GS4GG, UNFCCC and CCIPL's procedures and requirements. The verification has been performed as per the requirements described in the GS4GG requirements /05/ and constitutes the review and completion of the following steps:

- Reviewing the registered PDD (version 06; dated 11/02/2020) /02/;
- Receipt of the MR (version 01 dated 30/04/2023) /01/;
- Desk review of the MR /01/ and other relevant documents;
- Review of the applied monitoring methodology (ACM0002, version 19) /04/;
- Review of any CMP and EB decisions, clarifications and guidance;
- On-site assessment (16/05/2023);
- Resolution of CARs and CLs raised during verification;
- Issuance of Verification Report

The project activity was correctly implemented according to the selected monitoring methodology and registered PDD /02/. Through document review and on-site visit assessment, the verification team confirms that the project activity has resulted in 59,011 tCO<sub>2</sub>e emission reductions during this second monitoring period.

The break-up of emission reduction as verified during the course of verification are as below:

Vintage Emission Reductions (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)
--

Version 04.0 Page 12 of 23

01/05/2021 - 31/12/2021	20,772
01/01/2022 - 31/12/2022	33,823
01/01/2023 - 28/02/2023	4,416

CCIPL therefore pleased to issue a positive verification opinion expressed in the attached Certification statement.

#### SECTION H. Certification statement

It is CCIPL's opinion that the GHG emission reductions stated in the 2<sup>nd</sup> Monitoring period's monitoring report, version 03 dated 12/07/2023 for project activity, **/01/** "BMT Solar Farm" for period 01/05/2021 to 28/02/2023 (Inclusive of both the dates) are fairly stated. The GHG emission reductions were calculated correctly based on the approved monitoring methodology, ACM0002, version 19/ 04/ Hence, CCIPL able to certify that the emission reductions from the project during the monitoring period 01/05/2021 to 28/02/2023 (Inclusive of both the dates) amount to 59,011 tCO<sub>2</sub>e.

Version 04.0 Page 13 of 23

## **Appendix 1. Abbreviations**

Abbreviations	Full texts
BE	Baseline Emissions
CAR	Corrective Action Request
CCIPL	Carbon Check (India) Private Limited
CDM	Clean Development Mechanism
CH <sub>4</sub>	Methane
CL	Clarification Request
CO <sub>2</sub>	Carbon Dioxide
CO <sub>2</sub> e	Carbon dioxide equivalent
PP	Coordinating and Managing Entity
CMP	Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol
VVB	Designated Operational Entity
EB	Executive Board
ER	Emission Reductions
EVN	Vietnam Electricity Corporation
FAR	Forward Action Request
GHG(s)	Greenhouse gas(es)
GS	Gold Standard
GS4GG	Gold Standard for Global Goals
GWP	Global Warming Potential
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
LSC	Local Stakeholder Consultation
MoV	Means of Verification
MP	Monitoring Plan
MR	Monitoring Report
MWH	Mega Watt-Hour
PDD	Project Design Document
PE	Project Emissions
PP(s)	Project Participant
SDG	Sustainability Development Goals
SMP	Sustainability Monitoring Plan
SS(s)	Sectoral Scopes
TCO <sub>2</sub> e	Tonnes Carbon Dioxide Equivalent
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
VER	Voluntary Emission Reductions
VVB	Validation and Verification Body
VVS	Validation and verification standard

Version 04.0 Page 14 of 23

# Appendix 2. Competence of team members and technical reviewers

		Carb — CHEC	on K—	
Carbo	on Check	(India) l	Private	Limited
	Certificat	e of Con	npetenc	y
	Ms. Apo	ırna Cho	udhary	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•		ance with the requirement pplicable GHG programs:
	for the follow	ing functions and re	equirements:	
☑ Validator ☑ Verifier ☑ Team Leader ☑ Technical E				☑ Technical Expert
☐ Technical Reviewer	☐ Health Expert	☐ Gender Expert		☐ Plastic Waste Expert
⊠ SDG+	⊠ Social no-harm(S	S+) ⊠ Environm	nent no-harm(E+)	☐ CCB Expert
☐ Financial Expert	☑ Local Expert for	India		
	in the fo	ollowing Technical i	Areas:	
⊠ TA 1.1	⊠ TA 1.2	□ TA 2.1	⊠ TA 3.1	□ TA 4.1
□ TA 4. n	☐ TA 5.1	☐ TA 5.2	☐ TA 7.1	□ TA 8.1
☐ TA 9.1	☐ TA 9.2	☐ TA 10.1	⊠ TA 13.1	⊠ TA 13.2
☐ TA 14.1	□ TA 15.1			
Issue	Date		Expi	ry Date
03 <sup>rd</sup> Ma	ay 2023		04 <sup>th</sup> N	1ay 2024
Vinash L	S:S		- N	مراشه
	Kumar Singh ince Officer			nit Anand CEO

Version 04.0 Page 15 of 23



## **Carbon Check (India) Private Limited**

# Certificate of Competency

## Ms. Jaya Rajput

has been qualified as per CCIPL's internal qualification procedures in accordance with the requirements of CDM AS (V7.0), ISO/IEC14065:2020, ISO/IEC 17029:2019 and other applicable GHG programs:

for the following functions and requirements: **⊠** Validator **⊠** Verifier ☐ Team Leader □ Technical Expert ☐ Technical Reviewer ☐ Health Expert ☐ Gender Expert ☐ Plastic Waste Expert □ SDG+ ☐ Social no-harm(S+) ☐ Environment no-harm(E+) ☐ CCB Expert ☐ Financial Expert □ Local Expert for India in the following Technical Areas: ☐ TA 1.1 ☑ TA 1.2 ☐ TA 2.1 ☑ TA 3.1 □ TA 4.1 ☐ TA 4. n ☐ TA 5.1 ☐ TA 7.1 ☐ TA 8.1 ☐ TA 5.2 ☐ TA 9.1 ☐ TA 9.2 ☐ TA 10.1 ☐ TA 13.1 ☐ TA 13.2 ☐ TA 14.1 ☐ TA 15.1 **Issue Date Expiry Date** 31st March 2023 30th March 2024 Vixash Q. S.S Mr. Vikash Kumar Singh Mr. Amit Anand **Compliance Officer** CEO

Version 04.0 Page 16 of 23

CCIPL\_FM 7.9 Certificate of Competency\_V2.1\_012023



## Carbon Check (India) Private Limited

# Certificate of Competency

## **Nguyen Hong Ngoc Trang**

has been qualified as per CCIPL's internal qualification procedures in accordance with the requirements of CDM AS (V7.0), ISO/IEC14065:2020, ISO/IEC 17029:2019 and other applicable GHG programs:

for the following functions and requirements: □ Validator □ Verifier ☐ Team Leader ☐ Technical Expert ☐ Technical Reviewer ☐ Health Expert ☐ Gender Expert ☐ Plastic Waste Expert ☐ SDG+ ☐ Social no-harm(S+) ☐ Environment no-harm(E+) ☐ CCB Expert ☐ Financial Expert □ Local Expert for Vietnam in the following Technical Areas: ☐ TA 1.1 ☐ TA 1.2 ☐ TA 2.1 ☐ TA 3.1 ☐ TA 4.1 ☐ TA 4. n ☐ TA 5.1 ☐ TA 5.2 ☐ TA 7.1 ☐ TA 8.1 ☐ TA 9.1 ☐ TA 9.2 ☐ TA 10.1 ☐ TA 13.1 ☐ TA 13.2 ☐ TA 14.1 ☐ TA 15.1 **Issue Date Expiry Date** 03<sup>rd</sup> May 2023 02<sup>nd</sup> May 2024 Mr. Vikash Kumar Singh Mr. Amit Anand **Compliance Officer** CEO

Version 04.0 Page 17 of 23

CCIPL\_FM 7.9 Certificate of Competency\_V2.1\_012023



## **Carbon Check (India) Private Limited**

# Certificate of Competency

## Mr. Shivaji Chakraborty

has been qualified as per CCIPL's internal qualification procedures in accordance with the requirements of CDM AS (V7.0), ISO/IEC14065:2020, ISO/IEC 17029:2019 and other applicable GHG programs:

for the following functions and requirements: □ Validator □ Verifier ☐ Team Leader ☑ Technical Reviewer ☐ Health Expert ☐ Gender Expert ☐ Plastic Waste Expert SDG+ Social no-harm(S+) ☑ Environment no-harm(E+) ☐ CCB Expert □ Local Expert for India in the following Technical Areas: ☑ TA 1.1 ☑ TA 1.2 ☐ TA 2.1 ☐ TA 4.1 □ TA 4. n ☐ TA 5.1 □ TA 5.2 ☐ TA 7.1 ☐ TA 8.1 ☐ TA 9.1 □ TA 9.2 ☐ TA 10.1 ☐ TA 13.1 ☐ TA 13.2 □ TA 14.1 ☐ TA 15.1 Issue Date **Expiry Date** 1st January 2023 31st December 2023 Mr. Vikash Kumar Singh Mr. Amit Anand **Compliance Officer** 

CCIPL\_FM 7.9 Certificate of Competency\_V2.1\_012023

Version 04.0 Page 18 of 23

## Appendix 3. Documents reviewed or referenced

No.	Author	Title	References to the document	Provider
01	ВМТ	Monitoring Report	Version 01, dated 30/04/2023 Version 02, dated 05/06/2023 Version 03, dated 12/03/2023	PP
02	BMT	Registered PDD	Version 06, dated 11/02/2020	PP
03	ВМТ	Emission Reduction spreadsheet corresponding to /01/	Version 01, dated 30/04/2023	PP
04	UNFCCC	Applied Methodology ACM0002	Version 19.0	Publicly Available
05	Gold Standard	Gold Standard for the Global Goals Principles & Requirements  2- GS4GG template Monitoring Report	Version 1.2 of October 2019  Version 1.1 14/10/2020	Publicly Available
06	Bureau Veritas India Pvt. Ltd.	Validation Report	Version 01.1, dated 14/08/2021	PP
07	ВМТ	Calibration Certificates BMT Solar farm		PP
08	UNFCC	CDM validation and verification standard	Version 03	Publicly Available
09	TUV	1st Monitoring period verification report	Version 5.0 dated 21/07/2022	PP
10	ВМТ	Monthly Power generation and consumption records	01/05/2021 – 28/02/2023	PP
11	BMT	Invoices raised to the Grid Authority (EVN)	01/05/2021 – 28/02/2023	PP
12	ВМТ	Training Records, attendance sheets	01/05/2021 – 28/02/2023	PP
13	Carbon Check (India) Private Limited	Counter Signed contract between Carbon Check (India) Private Limited and PP (BMT Renewable Energy JSC.	16/05/2023	Carbon Check (India) Private Limited
14	BMT	Job contract (SDG 08)		PP
15	UNFCCC	Guideline - Application of materiality in verifications v02.0	01/04/2015	Publicly Available
16	EVN	Commercial date of operation certificate	21/05/2019	PP
17	Seraphim	PV module technical specification	26/12/2018	PP

Version 04.0 Page 19 of 23

# Appendix 4. Clarification requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests

#### Table 1. Remaining FAR from validation and/or previous

FAR ID	01	Section no.		Date: 05/05/2023		
Description	Description of FAR					
Remote site	visit shall be resumed	by VVB when C	ovid-19 situation eases			
Project par	ticipant response			<b>Date:</b> 05/05/2023		
COVID-19	COVID-19 situation has been eased in Vietnam since Q1 2022 and thereby on-site visit is planned					
on 17 <sup>th</sup> Ma	y 2023.					
Documentation provided by project participant						
VVB assessment Date: 26/06/2023						
On site audit was performed by CCIPL for GS 7551 on 17/05/2023. FAR 01 is closed.						

FAR ID	02	Section no.	E.2	Date: 05/05/2023		
Description	Description of FAR					
Local resid	ents shall be intervie	wed regarding	grievance mechanism and	project impact by VVB,		
when resur	ning on-site visit.					
Project part	icipant response			Date: 05/05/2023		
VVB has p	VVB has planned to interview the local residents during the on-site visit for the grievance					
mechanisn	٦.		•			
Documenta	Documentation provided by project participant					
VVB assessment Date: 26/06/2023						
CCIPL interviewed local residents for the second Monitoring period for GS 7551 on 17/05/2023 and received						
no negative	feedback. FAR 02 is cl	osed.				

FAR ID	03	Section no.	E.2	Date: 05/05/2023		
Description of FAR						
During nex	During next MP, domestic waste disposal shall be monitored and verified by VVB.					
Project participant response Date: 05/05/2023						
The domestic waste generated at the site is very minimum. The wastes collected through bins are disposed periodically through waste collectors						
Documenta	tion provided by proj	ect participant				

Version 04.0 Page 20 of 23

It is very clear that the electricity tariff and electricity generation are not changed more than 16% from the registered value in the below table:

	Electricity Generation (MWh)			Wh) Electricity Tariff (USD/kWh)		
Year	PDD Value	Actual Value	Variance (%)	PDD Value	Actual Value	Variance (%)
Jun – Dec 2021	44,824	33,550	<b>√</b> 25%	0.0935	0.0935	0%
Jan – Dec 2022	76,842	59,939	<b>√</b> 21%	0.0935	0.0935	0%
Jan – Feb 2023	12,807	7,321	<b>√</b> 42%	0.0935	0.0935	0%

VVB assessment Date: 26/06/2023

VVB team has cross-checked the tariff value with the invoices raised by EVN and has concluded that there is no change in the electricity tariff with registered PDD.

Also, Electricity generation is less than 25%, 21% and 42% less than registered PDD values for the years 2021, 2022, & 2023 respectively.

#### Table 2. CL from this verification

CL ID	01	Section no.	Cover page	Date: 02/06/2023		
Description of CL						

As per the registered PDD, the start date of crediting period 01 is 01/06/2019, while in the MR version 01 section A.4, the start date for CP01 is mentioned as 02/06/2023.

Date:

PP is requested to clarify this discrepancy.

#### Project participant response

The first verification was conducted remotely due to pandemic and thereby the monitoring period limited to less than 2 years. Hence, the start date of crediting period was changed from 01/05/2019 to 02/05/20219 for the first verification.

#### Documentation provided by project participant

VVB assessment Date: 26/06/2023

Justification provided by the PP has been found appropriate with VVB. CL 02 is closed.

#### Table 2. CAR from this verification

CAR ID	01	Section no.	E.8.2	Date: 02/06/2023	
Description of CAR					

PP is requested to address the following discrepancies in consistency between MR and registered PDD -

- 1. In table 01, the SDG impact names are not the same as those mentioned in registered PDD. Moreover, PP is not addressing all parameters such as number of trainings, number of fatal injuries and accidents.
- 2. The model's name of the PV Modules in the MR version 01 is, "SRP-340-6MA-HV" while in the registered PDD the name of PV module is "SRP-340-6MA-HV".
- 3. In section E.2 PP has considered project emissions also, however as per registered PDD and para 34 of applied methodology, PE are to be considered 0.

PP is requested to address these comments mentioned above and bring consistency among MR and PDD.

Project participant response Date: 05/05/2023

Version 04.0 Page 21 of 23

Date: 05/05/2023

Date: 05/05/2023

- 1. SDG impact names are amended in the revised MR version 02 dated 05/06/2023. The parameters are addressed under section D.2
- 2. It is typo error. Amended in the revised MR as per the certified PDD.
- 3. It has been amended in the revised MR

#### Documentation provided by project participant

VVB assessment Date: 26/06/2023

- 1. SDG impact names has been revised in the MR v02 and have made it consistent with the registered PDD. CAR01-01 is closed.
- 2. PP has provided the COD certificate, which confirms the commercial date of operation of plant as 25/05/2019, thus being consistent with the PDD. CAR01-02 is closed.
- 3. The actual meter number is 137, it has been confirmed from the previous monitoring reports, and there is indeed a typo error in the registered PDD, which will be amended in CP02. CAR01-03 is closed.

## CAR ID 02 Section no. E Date: 02/06/2023

#### **Description of CAR**

In line with the MR template v1.1 template guide, PP is requested to address the following findings:

- 1. In table 1 & 2 of the MR, PP is requested to specify the ER amount claimed as GS VER, not only tCO2e.
- 2. In section A.2 of MR version 01, PP is requested to add a map along with the project's physical address.
- 3. In sections E.1 and E.2 of the MR version 01, PP is requested to, provide sample calculations for all formulae used to calculate/estimate baseline values (SDG 13 emissions or net baseline removals), applying actual values under each SDG. Moreover, clearly reference the spreadsheets used (including sheet names as necessary) and supply them as supporting evidence to the monitoring report.

#### Project participant response

- 1. GS VER is included in both tables of the revised MR
- 2. Map incorporated in the revised MR
- 3. The formula and the calculation are clearly mentioned in the revised MR as well as the revised ER spreadsheet for the review and justification.

#### Documentation provided by project participant

Revised ER spreadsheet

Revised MR (Version 2)

VVB assessment Date: 26/06/2023

- 1. PP has revised the ERs as GS VERs also, in line with the GS MR template guidelines. CAR02-01 is closed
- 2. PP has added the map of project's location, in line with the GS MR template guidelines. CAR02-02 is closed.
- 3. PP has provided sample calculations in section E.1 & E.2 of MR v02 in line with the GS MR template guidelines. CAR02-03 is closed.

### CAR ID 03 Section no. E.9 Date: 02/06/2023

#### **Description of CAR**

PP is requested to provide the following documents for the VVB's assessment -

- 1. Commissioning Certificates
- 2. Manufacturer's specifications of PV modules.
- 3. Regulation for calibration of energy meters
- 4. Health and Safety issue records (SDG 8.5.1 No of Fatal & Non-fatal injuries)
- 5. Job contracts/Pay Slip/payroll records (SDG 8.5.1 Job contracts (or) Pay slip (or) Payroll records)

Project participant response

Version 04.0 Page 22 of 23

- 1. Enclosed
- 2. Enclosed
- 3. Regulations are mentioned in the calibration certificates
- 4. Since no fatalities/injuries occurred at site, no records are created.
- 5. Enclosed

#### Documentation provided by project participant

- 1. COD certificate
- 2. Supplier data sheets
- 3. Calibration certificates
- 4. Employe pay slip

VVB assessment Date: 26/06/2023

PP has provided COD certificate, PV module specifications, calibration certificates and pay slips. All the submitted documents have been found consistent with the PDD. CAR 03 is closed.

#### Table 3. FAR from this verification

No FAR raised for this verification period.

FAR ID	XX	Section No.	Date: DD/MM/YYYY					
Description of FAR								
Project parti	Date: DD/MM/YYYY							
Documentation provided by project participant								
VVB assess	ment	Date: DD/MM/YYYY						

Version 04.0 Page 23 of 23